Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/550,999	KOLZER, KLAUS-KURT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	RYAN OCHYLSKI	4151
All Participants:	Status of Application: Per	nding
(1) <u>RYAN OCHYLSKI</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>KENNETH A. CLARK</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 October 2008	Time: <u>4:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 19-39 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Kenneth A. Clark said he would be e-mailing his clients for an election, but did not call back with an election after a month had passed.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)